


PUBLICAÇÕES DO
LABORATÓRIO DE FÍSICA NUCLEAR
F. F. C. L. — U. S. P.



STUDIES ON THE NUCLEAR PHOTOEFFECT

STUDIES ON THE NUCLEAR PHOTOEFFECT

M. D. de Souza Santos, J. Goldemberg, R. R. Pieroni, E. Silva,
Ottavia A. Borello and Suzanna S. Villaça.

Laboratório de Física Nuclear. Universidade de São Paulo (Brasil)

and

J. Leite Lopes

Faculdade Nacional de Filosofia, Universidade do Brasil, Rio de
Janeiro, D.F., Brasil

ABSTRACT

Photonuclear reactions induced in several elements by the X-ray beam of the University of São Paulo 24 MeV Betatron were accurately measured by means of the residual activity technique.

Thresholds and cross-sections for different photonuclear processes were measured with a fairly high accuracy and are discussed in this paper.

Some influences of shell structure on the photoprocesses is presented.

Introduction

1 In the experiments described in this paper, the source of X-rays of a variable energy was a 24 MeV Betatron of Kerst's design (a,b,c). The magnet was made by Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co. and the machine and auxiliary circuits were installed by the laboratory staff of the University of São Paulo.

2 Although the electronic circuitry is conventional in its main aspects and follows quite closely the University of Illinois' design (a,b), some circuits, however, were developed at our laboratory in order to provide the machine with a long term stability of the electron beam energy.

3 Our machine has been in operation since 1951; the experience accumulated during the first years of operation has shown that most of the difficulties connected with the energy stability of the beam were due to the energy control system which was similar to the precision device used at the University of Saskatchewan (d).

4 Fundamentally, the energy control in an induction accelerator is obtained when the magnetic field, which determines the momentum of the electrons at the equilibrium orbit, reaches a predetermined value. It is not easy, however, to measure directly this magnetic field so that use is made of a method developed at the University of Illinois (b,c).

5 Although the magnetic induction E , which determines the electron momentum is not easily obtained, the applied e.m.f. across the magnet coils is immediately available. This e.m.f. determines the rate of change B of the guide field; its time integral represents, therefore, a measure of the magnetic induction B and, hence,

a) D.W.Kerst - Phys.Rev., 58,841 (1940)

b) D.W.Kerst - Phys.Rev., 59,110 (1941)

c) D.W.Kerst - Phys.Rev., 60, 47 (1941)

d) L.Katz, A.G.McNamara, P.A.Forsyth, R.N.H.Haslam and H.R.Johns - Can.Journal of Research A28, 113 (1950)

of the momentum of the electrons at the equilibrium orbit. The voltage developed across the armatures of a condenser, charged by this e.m.f. through a large resistance, is a direct measure of the required instantaneous value of B and is available as a voltage of the same frequency ω , and practically in phase with, B , provided that B/C ratio is properly chosen.

6 In our energy control circuits this integrated e.m.f. is compared with a pre-determined adjustable e.m.f. in a double triode amplitude comparison circuit whose characteristics can be checked at any time. Whenever the variable voltage just equals the reference e.m.f., a signal is delivered to the grid of the expander circuit and the beam is expanded on to the target. The circuits used for this purpose are illustrated in figs. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

7 Most of the work described in this paper was carried out with a pumped donut provided with a thin gold radiator which was attached to the injector structure and located at 1,2 cm. from the equilibrium orbit. Previous experiments (a) have shown that no multiple passages did occur under the experimental conditions used.

8 The machine was excited by means of an alternator driven at a pre-determined frequency by means of an electronically controlled magnetic clutch. This device allowed the machine to be driven at its resonant frequency under constant magnet excitation during the experiments: by this procedure, no frequency effects (b) on the energy scale were observed and the amplitude effect (b) was, under the most unfavourable conditions, smaller than 16.4 keV per a one volt change in magnet amplitude.

9 During a six month period, in which most of the experiments were made, it was found that the most common cause of drift in the

a) J. Goldemberg, R.R. Pieroni, M.D.S. Santos and E. Silva, "Simpósio sobre novas técnicas de pesquisa em física" - published by the Academia Brasileira de Ciências, Rio de Janeiro, 1952.

b) R.R. Pieroni - Ph.D. thesis (University of São Paulo, 1955).




FIG.1

REFERENCE VOLTAGE





FIG.2



CATHODE FOLLOWER PICK-UP SUPPRESSOR

FIG.5

energy control was due to a temperature effect in the integrator resistance stack. This is a systematic error which was eliminated by immersing the RC network in a temperature controlled oil bath. A smaller temperature systematic effect could still be observed and was duly corrected by keeping the Weston standard cell, used to check the reference voltage of the energy control circuit under constant temperature. With these precautions, it was found that a very small effect (smaller than $1/5,000$) could be attributed to a 40°C change in the magnet temperature. This systematic error, when required, can be corrected by measuring the magnet temperature at the different irradiations.

10 By using the procedure outlined above, it was possible to keep the energy stability of the machine within 3 keV (for an electron beam energy of 17.5 MeV) in short periods, whereas the long term stability is of the order of 10 keV. A typical stability curve, for which the above discussed temperature effects were not corrected, is reproduced in fig. 6.

11 The measurement of the machine energy stability was made by means of repeated irradiations, at different times, of a boric acid pressed cylinder in a region of the activation curve of oxygen (15) for which the slope was 1.5% per a 10 keV change in energy.

Threshold determinations

12 The excitation functions of photoinduced nuclear reactions show that as the peak energy of the Bremsstrahlung spectrum is increased, the cross-section for photodesintegration rises slowly from zero at the threshold energy E_{t} and reaches a maximum in the region of the so-called giant resonance. Near the threshold, the behaviour of the excitation function depend on the desintegration process investigated, on the nature of the process responsible for the absorption of the bombarding photon and, in the particular case

LONG TERM ENERGY STABILITY




Fig. 6

in which a single neutron is emitted, on the angular momentum of the outgoing particle.

13 At low energies (of the order of 10 MeV), magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole absorption are important and start to drop at about 20 MeV. At high energies, however, the absorption is strongly increased by the onset of dipole absorption (a).

14 The examination of the behaviour of the excitation function near the threshold has been discussed by several investigators (b, c, d); experimental data available shows that although there are several instances in which the excitation function rises slowly due mainly to magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole absorption, there are several others for which a steep rise is observed and the cross-section analysis shows only the contribution due to the giant resonance (dipole absorption).

A rapid rise in activity is thus expected whenever the energy of the incoming photons exceed the threshold energy.

15 In the instances however, where the threshold for the process under consideration is located in the region of magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole absorption, a slow increase of activity with the excess energy of the bombarding photon over the threshold is to be expected.

16 The considerations sketched above show that an accurate experimental determination of the thresholds for photonuclear reactions can only be obtained through the extrapolation of an experimental curve whose shape cannot be predicted accurately (due, mainly, to the lack of precise knowledge of the shape of the tail of

-
- a) J.M. Blatt and V.F. Weisskopf - Theoretical Nuclear Physics, pg. 656. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1952
 - b) J. McElhinney, A.O. Hanson, R.A. Becker, R.B. Duffield and B.C. Diven - Phys. Rev., 75, 542 (1949)
 - c) R.W. Parsons and C.H. Collie - Proc. Phys. Soc., A43, 839. (1950)
 - d) C.H. Collie, M.D.S. Santos, R.R. Pieroni, E. Silva and O. Borello - Proc. of the 1954 Glasgow Conference on Nuclear and Meson Physics. Pergamon Press Ltd., London, 1954

the Bremsstrahlung spectrum); as the obtained curves are smooth in a small region and since in most cases its curvature cannot be significantly appreciated, a good fit is obtained by calculating the least squares straight line and extrapolating it to zero yield. Sometimes a parabolic rise is observed at the threshold; in this case a least squares fit of a quadratic expression is the best criterion available; a point is then determined unambiguously and is considered as a measurement of the threshold energy. A further check on this value can be obtained by observing the induced yield for energies just slightly below or above this extrapolated energy. In some instances, this has turned out to be quite an accurate procedure to locate a threshold within an error of 0.1%. This is a tedious procedure, however, since the activities are usually just above the natural background.

17 Another procedure which can be used to determine unambiguously the extrapolation point was suggested by Parsons and Collie (a) and has been used widely by several investigators (b,c). If one assumes that the yield Y for a given process increases with some power "n" of the photon excess energy over the threshold, $(\Omega - \Omega_0)$, a plot in a double logarithmical scale of the expression $Y = k(\Omega - \Omega_0)^n$ allows the value of the threshold to be calculated. Since however, an assumption is made on the behaviour of the excitation function near the threshold, this value, although unambiguously determined, may be different from the actual threshold.

18 The measured threshold reported in this paper were obtained by means of the induced activity technique and the least squares extrapolation, as described above, was used in most instances.

a) R.W.Parsons and C.H.Collie - Proc.Phys.Soc., A 43, 839 (1950)
b) R.Sherr, J.Halpern and W.E.Stephens - Phys.Rev., 81, 154 (1951)
c) M.Birnbaum - Phys.Rev., 93, 146 (1954)

Experimental arrangement

19 Cylindrical samples of compressed material under about 8,000 psi were used in most cases. They were designed to fit snugly over a Victoreen Thyrode counter encased in a lead castle. The thickness of the samples was chosen in every instance in order to get a source of infinite thickness. This procedure was chosen in order to get the maximum counting rate under a given irradiation.

20 The cylindrical samples were irradiated at 30 cm. from the X-ray target and the irradiation dose was measured by means of a Victoreen thimble ionisation chamber immersed in a lucite block whose sides were 8 cm. long (a). Whenever high doses of irradiation were necessary, a Hammer dosimeter was used under the same experimental conditions.

Energy scale

21 The energy control system of the University of São Paulo's betatron gives a linear dependence between the variable reference e.m.f. and the momentum of the electrons at the equilibrium orbit prior to the expansion of the beam towards the X-ray target. This dependence can be verified at any moment during irradiation by means of the checking controls incorporated in the circuit. In particular, it is possible to calibrate the energy scale by means of a DC variable e.m.f. connected to the input of the cathode follower tube. This circumstance has turned out to be an useful feature to provide a quick check on the working conditions of the whole energy control system (b). It can be shown that the relationship between the kinetic energy of the electrons that strike the target at a pre-determi

a) H.E. Johns, L.Katz, R.A.Douglas and R.N.H.Haslam, Phys.Rev., 80, 1062 (1950)

b) R.R.Pieroni - Ph.D. thesis (University of São Paulo), 1955

ned value of the reference voltage energy control is given by


$$\left[E_{\max} (E_{\max} + 1.02) \right]^{1/2} = A (R + B) \quad |$$

where R is the variable arm of the reference e.m.f. potentiometer and A and B constants. A depends upon the constants of the integrator and energy control circuits, the radius of the equilibrium orbit, the target radius, the true phase shift between the magnetic field and the integrated voltage across the condenser of the integrator stack and of the characteristics of the pulse applied to produce the expansion of the orbit towards the target (a).

22 These constants could be both, in principle, calculated without difficulty since B represents the displacement of the reference voltage introduced by the standing current in the cathode follower dropping resistance; this voltage can be accurately measured by means of either a sensitive voltmeter or by a potentiometer.

23 The constants A and B were both determined experimentally by measuring the thresholds of (y,n) reactions in light elements. Equation (1) was used then as an interpolation formula and it will be seen that the linear relationship holds within the accuracy of the experimental data. Indeed, since nuclear masses are not yet well enough known to enable small systematic departures from eq.(1) to be detected, small departures from the ideal conditions assumed in the derivation of this equation are thus found to be smaller than the errors introduced by mass-differences.

24 The thresholds used in this calibration were (b):



-
- a) M.D.S.Santos - Energy control for magnetic induction accelerators, thesis, 1953 (University of São Paulo press)
b) C.H.Li, W.Rahling, W.A.Fowler and C.C.Lauritsen, Phys.Rev., 83, 512 (1951)

which are, respectively, 10.543 ± 0.0168 MeV, 15.957 ± 0.012 MeV, and 18.707 ± 0.027 MeV. Those values should still be corrected by the energy of the recoiling nucleus after

$$E_r = E_y^2 / 2mc^2$$

and we get then 10.549 ± 0.017 MeV, 15.605 ± 0.012 MeV and 18.728 ± 0.027 MeV respectively.

25. Although the DC check on the energy scale calibration leads one to the certainty that there is a linear relationship between the reference voltage (as measured by the potentiometer arm R in ohms) and the momentum of the electrons at the equilibrium orbit, the introduction of a third point allows a better interpolation of eq. (1) in the experimental data.

Experimental results

1 - Nitrogen

26 Cylinders of dicyandiamide ($N_4C_2H_4$) compressed under 8,000 psi were used in these determinations. The samples used fit snugly over the thyrode counter used to detect the N^{13} activity induced by the (γ, n) process in N^{14} . The purity of the samples used was checked by measuring its decaying activity during several half-lives ($T_{1/2} = 10.1$ min.).

27 The obtained activities as a function of the maximum energy of the betatron X-rays are illustrated in fig. 7, where the points were obtained in integrator steps of 100 ohms. The extrapolated threshold occurs at 21.850 ohms. It can be observed that there is a sharp break at 23.200 ohms which corresponds to the contribution of an excitation level in the N^{14} excited nucleus and which had not been observed before by betatron irradiation. This level occurs at 11.38 MeV and has been observed by several investigators as

$N^{14}(\gamma, n)N^{13}$
THRESHOLD




Fig. 7

quoted by Ajzenberg and Lauritsen (a).

II - Oxygen

28 Samples of compressed boric acid, also under 8,000 psi were used in this experiment. A purity test was made by following the induced activity due to O^{15} during several half-lives. The excitation function obtained is illustrated in fig. 8. There is also a sharp break of the excitation function which is attributed to an excitation level in the O^{16} nucleus and which has been observed before (b). The extrapolated threshold for this (γ, n) reaction occurs at 30.440 ohms.

III - Carbon: $C^{12} (\gamma, n) C^{11}$

29 In order to study the $C^{12} (\gamma, n) C^{11}$ reaction, pieces of polyethylene tubing were used. A check on their purity was made by irradiating the samples during one half hour and following the induced activity for several half-lives; a pure C^{11} activity of 20.5 min. half-life was observed.

30 The obtained extrapolated threshold lies at 35,600 ohms. The small activity, just above background was found to be a 10 minute half-life activity ascribed to the $N^{14} (\gamma, n) N^{13}$ reaction in N impurities, Fig. 9.

31 With the data obtained on these three reactions, a least squares fit was made to eq. (1) which can then be written as

$$\left[E_{\max} (E_{\max} + 1.02) \right]^{1/2} = - 2.428 + 0.593 R$$

This equation has been used in the determination of the thresholds of the reactions which will be described below.

a) F. Ajzenberg and T. Lauritsen - Rev. Mod. Phys., 24, 321 (1952)
b) C. H. Collie, M. D. S. Santos, R. R. Pieroni, E. Silva and O. Borello - "Proceedings of the 1954 Glasgow Conference on Nuclear and Meson Physics". Pergamon Press - London, 1954.
D. M. Spicer, A. S. Penfold and J. Goldemberg - Phys. Rev. 95, 629 (1954)




Fig. 8




Fig. 9

IV - Chlorine: $\text{Cl}^{35} (\gamma, n) \text{Cl}^{34}$

32 The threshold for this reaction can be computed by taking into account the known mass differences of both nuclides (a); since the maximum energy of the emitted positrons from Cl^{34} is known to be 4.5 MeV, the threshold for the process is found to be $12.58 \pm \pm 0.09$ MeV (b).

33 The threshold was determined by means of the induced activity in a C Cl_4 samples measured in a liquid counter. A pure 33 minute ($T_{1/2}$) activity was observed and constitutes an independent check on the purity of the C Cl_4 employed in our measurements. The obtained results are represented in fig. 10. The observed threshold for this reaction is found to be 12.35 ± 0.035 MeV.

V - Iron: $\text{Fe}^{54} (\gamma, n) \text{Fe}^{53}$

34 Cylindrical samples of iron were used in this experiment and their purity was known by chemical analysis and was further checked by the induced activity under betatron irradiation. The threshold is located at 24,150 ohms which corresponds to 11.90 ± 0.07 MeV (fig. 11). The previous values for the threshold of this reaction were quoted as 14.2 ± 0.4 MeV (c) and 13.8 ± 0.2 MeV (d). This value of the threshold corresponds to the rapid rise of the activity which is found in the region C of Fig 11. One should expect this region to be the beginning of the contribution due to electric dipole absorption whereas the slow initial rise is a contribution of both magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole absorption. This region was missed by the investigators that examined this reaction before.


35 In order to examine wheter this activity was not due to im-

a) E. Segre - Experimental Nuclear Physics, Vol. 1, John Wiley and Sons, 1953.

b) R.K. King - Rev. Mod. Phys., 26, 327 (1954)

c) G.C. Baldwin and H.W. Koch - Phys. Rev., 67, 1 (1945)

d) J. McElhinney, A.O. Hanson, R.A. Becker, R.B. Duffield and B.C. Diven - Phys. Rev., 75, 542 (1949)






Fig. 11

purities, a very careful series of tests was made. The half-life of the induced activity in the region BC was measured in each point and was found to be typical of the Fe^{53} activity ($T_{1/2} = 9 \text{ min.}$). A chemical analysis of the samples showed no traces of copper in the amounts required to be responsible for the yields observed.

VI - Copper: $\text{Cu}^{63} (\gamma, n) \text{Cu}^{62}$

36 Electrolytic copper cylinders were used and their purity was examined by radioactive procedures. Special care must be exercised in this threshold determination owing to the fairly large capture cross-section of Cu^{65} for slow neutrons, leading to the 5 minute half-life activity of Cu^{66} .

37 The obtained results are illustrated in fig. 12 where the extrapolated threshold occurs at 21,870 ohms which corresponds to $10.54 \pm 0.04 \text{ MeV}$. This value agrees with Birnbaum's (a) observed threshold within the experimental errors. Previous measurements have located this threshold at 10.90 ± 0.2 (b) and 10.90 ± 0.2 and 10.8 ± 0.2 (c and d).

38 Since it is usual to calibrate the betatron energy scale with the $\text{Cu}^{63} (\gamma, n) \text{Cu}^{62}$ threshold as a standard point, this error must have influenced a number of earlier threshold measurements.

39 The threshold value as calculated from mass differences gives 10.00 ± 0.10 (e). Since no cascade gamma-rays between 350 and 650 keV has been observed (f), the mass-difference must have an error of 540 keV.

VII - Zinc: $\text{Zn}^{64} (\gamma, n) \text{Zn}^{63}$

40 Cylinders of pure zinc were irradiated and the residual ac-

a) M. Birnbaum, Phys. Rev., 93, 146 (1954)

b) G. C. Baldwin and H. W. Koch, Phys. Rev., 67, 1 (1945)

c) J. McElhinney and al., Phys. Rev., 75, 542 (1949)

d) J. McElhinney and W. E. Ogle, Phys. Rev., 78, 63 (1950)

e) H. E. Duckworth and B. C. Hogg, Can. Journal of Physics, 32, 238 - (1953)

f) R. H. Nasbaum et al., Physica XX, 8, 571 (1954)

$\text{Cu}^{63}(\gamma, n)\text{Cu}^{62}$
THRESHOLD





Fig. 12



tivity was measured in our standard arrangement for cylindrical samples. The obtained results are illustrated in fig. 13. Below the threshold an activity of 5 minute half-life was detected and found to be due to $Zn^{67} (\gamma, p) Cu^{66}$. If the contribution of this activity is subtracted, the extrapolation gives for the threshold 23.620 ohms which corresponds to 11.58 ± 0.06 MeV.

41 The same extrapolated value was obtained by extrapolating to zero yield by means of the procedure described by Parsons and Collie (a) as illustrated in fig. 14. This value agrees within the experimental errors with the threshold as calculated by using mass differences (b) as 11.435 ± 0.058 MeV.

VIII - Zirconium: $Zr^{90} (\gamma, n) Zr^{89*}$

42 Discs of pressed zirconium oxide were irradiated at several betatron energies and the decay of the 4.4 minute activity was followed by an automatic counting system; the results are presented in fig. 15 which locates the threshold for this reaction at 24.100 ohms, what corresponds to 12.20 ± 0.06 MeV. The values previously obtained for this threshold by other investigators were: 12.1 ± 0.1 (c) and 12.3 (d).

IX - Silver: $Ag^{109} (\gamma, n) Ag^{108}$

43 Silver cylinders were used as samples in these experiments and were irradiated at several betatron energies. Since Ag^{107} has a high cross-section for slow neutron capture, it is impossible to avoid some contribution of this process even for irradiation energies below the threshold and which is ascribed to neutrons originated both in the X-ray target and donut walls. By subtracting this contribution in the yield curve, the threshold can be located at 18.900 ohms which corresponds to 8.78 ± 0.04 MeV (fig. 16). Prior

a) R.W. Parsons and C.H. Collie, Proc. Phys. Soc., A 43, 839 (1950)
b) H.E. Duckworth and B.C. Hogg, Can. Journal of Phys., 32, 238 (1953)
c) W.E. Ogle, L.J. Brown and A.N. Carson, Phys. Rev., 78, 63 (1950)
d) P. Axol and J.D. Fox, Phys. Rev., 95, 613 (A), (1954)





Fig. 14






Fig. 16

values of this threshold were: 9.3 ± 0.5 (a), 9.05 ± 0.2 (b) and 9.07 ± 0.7 (c).

X - Samarium: $\text{Sm}^{144} (\gamma, n) \text{Sm}^{143}$

44 Discs of pressed Sm_2O_3 were irradiated at different betatron energies and the induced 9.03 minute half-life activity measured in our standard counting arrangement. The obtained results located the threshold at 9.60 ± 0.05 MeV (fig. 17).

Cross-sections

45 In the course of the experiments carried out in our laboratory, cross-sections for several photonuclear reactions were computed by means of the photon difference method (d).

In this paper, some typical or peculiar ones will be described.

I - Chlorine: $\text{Cl}^{35} (\gamma, n) \text{Cl}^{34}$

II - Potassium: $\text{K}^{39} (\gamma, n) \text{K}^{38}$

46 Cylinders of compressed KCl at a pressure of 8,500 psi were used in these experiments and irradiated by the betatron as shown in fig. 18. The samples were irradiated for a period of time of the order of ten minutes and the decay of the induced activities was followed for three hours: the activities measured were the 33 minutes half-life positron emitter Cl^{34} and 7.7 minute ($T_{1/2}$) K^{38} . The dose to which the samples were submitted was measured by means of a Victoreen condenser r-meter.

47 Analysis of the decay curves obtained at several energies gave the initial saturated activity induced in the samples. The

a) G.C. Baldwin and H.W. Koch, Phys. Rev., 67, 1 (1945)

b) R. Sherr, J. Halpern and W.E. Stephens, Phys. Rev., 71, 154 (1951)

c) M. Birnbaum, Phys. Rev., 93, 146 (1954)

d) L. Katz and A.G.W. Cameron, Can. J. of Phys., 29, 518 (1951)





Fig. 17



EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENT

Fig. 18

absolute rate of desintegration was determined by computing the number of active nuclei in an irradiated disc of compressed KCl, since for discs the determination of the geometric efficiency of the counting arrangement is less cumbersome. Corrections were made for self-absorption and self-scattering.

48 The desintegration scheme used in these computations for Cl^{34} is that given by King (a) and for K^{38} the one quoted by Low and Townes (b). The results obtained for the activation curves are represented in fig. 19. From these one can obtain the cross-sections for the (γ, n) reactions in Cl^{35} and K^{39} . The results are shown in fig. 20.

49 The significant data obtained from these curves is presented in the table below.

Element	Threshold (MeV)	$\sigma(E_{\text{max}})$	E_{max}	$\int \sigma \cdot dE$ MeV-b	Width (MeV)
Cl^{35}	12.35	7.4 mb	18.75 MeV	0.02	3.3
K^{39}	13.00	13.8 mb	18.25 MeV	0.04	2.6

III - Zinc: $\text{Zn}^{64} (\gamma, n) \text{Zn}^{63}$, $\text{Zn}^{64} (\gamma, 2n) \text{Zn}^{62}$ and $\text{Zn}^{66} (\gamma, n, p) \text{Cu}^{64}$

50 Cylinders of pure zinc were irradiated at a distance of 30 cm. of the betatron target during times ranging from 5 to 20 min., and the activity induced was measured by a cylindrical counter for 72 hours; in this way it was possible to separate the activities originated in the following reactions:

$\text{Zn}^{64} (\gamma, n) \text{Zn}^{63}$ with an half-life of 38 minutes, omitting positrons of 2.36 and 1.40 MeV.

$\text{Zn}^{64} (\gamma, 2n) \text{Zn}^{62}$ of half-life of 9.3 hours, omitting positrons of 0.66 MeV, in equilibrium with Cu^{62} .

a) R.K.King, Rev.Mod.Phys., 26,327 (1954)
 b) W.Low and C.H.Townes, Phys.Rev., 80,608 (1950)

EXCITATION FUNCTION






FIG. 19

GROSS-SECTIONS

FIG. 20



$Zn^{66} (\gamma, n^d_p) Cu^{64}$ with half-life of 12.8 hours omitting positrons of 0.57 MeV and beta rays of 0.66 MeV.

51 The 9.3 and the 12.8 hours activities were separated by absorption techniques. Some activity due to Zn^{68} formed by neutron capture in Zn^{67} with 14 hours of half-life had to be subtracted from the long lived isotopes mentioned above.

52 The absolute rate of desintegration of a Zn disc was determined by comparison with a Copper sample irradiated in the same conditions; the other activities were determined by comparison with the 38 minutes activity. It was possible in this way to obtain the activation curves for the reactions listed above; the results are shown in figs. 21, 22 and 23. From those curves the cross-sections of figs. 24 and 25 were obtained. The activation curve for the $Zn^{64} (\gamma, 2n) Zn^{62}$ reaction determines the threshold for this reaction at 20.35 ± 0.35 MeV.

53 The results for the $Zn^{66} (\gamma, n^d_p) Cu^{64}$ are very interesting although measurements were not made above 22 MeV; at 21 MeV the ratio of the (γ, n) to the (γ, n^d_p) cross-sections is 1.6: the same ratio computed from the evaporation model gives $\sim 6 \cdot 10^3$ (a). The yields for those reactions at the same energy is 200; this constitutes a confirmation of the results obtained by Byerly and Stephens for the emission of photodeuterons from copper (b). The need of a special mechanism to explain the emission of photodeuterons is clearly necessary.

IV - Sulfur: $S^{32} (\gamma, n^d_p) P^{30}$

54 Pressed cylinders of sulfur powder were irradiated at different betatron energies in the same arrangement already described for zinc measurements. The residual nucleus P^{30} is a 2.5 minute (half-life) positron emitter.

a) J.M. Blatt and V.F. Weisskopf, Theoretical Nuclear Physics, pg. 373, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1952.

b) P.R. Byerly and W.E. Stephens, Phys. Rev., 83, 54 (1951)




Fig. 21




Fig. 22




Fig. 23





Fig. 24



55 The activities obtained were compared to the activity of a boric acid sample in order to obtain the absolute rate of disintegration. The known activation curve for the reaction $O^{16}(\gamma,n)O^{15}$ was used for that purpose. The resulting activation curves and cross-sections are shown in fig. 26.

56 The results obtained in this measurement can be compared with those of Katz and Penfold (a) for the same reaction. The first interesting point to observe is that our samples were 10 times heavier than those of Katz and Penfold; one can then be fairly confident if the results obtained close to the threshold. As we can see, in fig. 26, the activation curve rises slowly from the threshold of the (γ,d) reaction at 19 MeV up to 20.5 MeV where the (γ,np) reaction comes in, and then increases rapidly; this can be interpreted as the contribution of the (γ,np) process that adds to the (γ,d) . At those energies the ratio of the cross-section for the reaction (γ,n) as measured by Summers-Gill et al. (b) to the (γ,np) is six. Using the evaporation model one gets for the same ratio ~ 400. Here we have again, clearly stressed, the need of a special mechanism to explain the emission of photodeuterons by nuclei.

V - Iron: $Fe^{54}(\gamma,n)Fe^{53}$

57 Iron cylinders were used in a measurement of the activation curve of the (γ,n) reaction of the 9% abundant Fe^{54} . Special care was taken in the measurements of the energy region immediately above the threshold, since this region presents some peculiarities.

58 The activation curve obtained in the form of counts per roentgen is shown in fig. 27. The cross-section computed from it is shown in fig. 28 normalized to the cross-section for the same process as measured at the University of Saskatchewan (c). The agree-


a) L.Katz and A.S.Penfold, Phys.Rev., 81/815 (1951)

b) R.N.H.Haslam, R.G.Summers-Gill and E.H.Crosby, Can. J. Res., 30, 257 (1952)

c) L.Katz, H.E.Johns, R.G.Baker, R.N.H.Haslam and R.L.Douglas, Phys. Rev., 82,271 (1951)

FIG. 26

EXCITATION FUNCTION



120 $\times 10^3$

100

yield / 100R / min / mol


80

70

50

18,0 18,5 19,0 19,5 20,0 20,5 21,0 21,5 MeV

CROSS-SECTION



millibarns


2,0

1,5

1,0

0,5

19,5 20,0 20,5 21,0 21,5 MeV






Fig. 27




Fig. 28

ement between the two curves is very good in the region of the dipole resonance, but, at lower energies our curve shows a knee typical of the contribution of magnetic dipole or electric quadrupole absorption. This behaviour has been found before in light nuclei, like oxygen and nitrogen (a,b). It is interesting to find this peculiarity in such a middle A nucleus like iron ($A = 54$); this is probably connected with the fact that this nucleus can be considered to be composed of 11 alpha-particles. For such sub units, electric quadrupole or magnetic dipole absorption are to be expected.

VI - Germanium: $\text{Ge}^{70} (\gamma, n) \text{Ge}^{69}$ and $\text{Ge}^{76} (\gamma, n) \text{Ge}^{75}$

59 Irradiation of natural germanium in the betatron beam allows the study of the following (γ, n) reactions:

$\text{Ge}^{70} (\gamma, n) \text{Ge}^{69}$ which decays into Ge^{69} by positron emission with an half-life of 40 hours, and

$\text{Ge}^{76} (\gamma, n) \text{Ge}^{75}$ which decays by beta ray emission into As^{75} with a half-life of 82 minutes.

A very small contribution to the activity is due to neutron capture reactions, but an analysis of the decay curves of irradiated Germanium permits the separation of the activities of Ge^{69} and Ge^{75} .


60 Samples of two grams of germanium were irradiated during ten minutes close to the betatron X-ray target and their activity was measured with an end window counter. In order to obtain the absolute rate of desintegration, the usual corrections were applied and the desintegration schemes quoted by King (c) were utilized.


61 The activation curves so obtained are shown in fig. 29 and the corresponding cross-sections are illustrated in fig. 30. The yields obtained at 18 MeV for the above reactions fit quite well the yields data of Price and Kerst. The threshold obtained in our

a) J. Horsley, R.N.H. Haslam and H.E. Johns, Can. Journal of Phys., 30, 159. (1952)

b) H.E. Johns, J. Horsley, R.N.H. Haslam, R. Quinton, Phys. Rev., 84, 856 (1951)

c) R.K. King, Rev. Mod. Phys., 26, 327 (1954)





CROSS-SECTION

FIG. 30

measurement for Ge^{70} at 12.1 ± 0.2 MeV indicates that the desintegration scheme for germanium 69 suggested by Way and Wood (a) is correct.

62 The cross-sections presents the peculiarity of a maximum cross-section at an energy anomalously high; however as the obtained data was limited to a maximum energy of 22 MeV, it was not possible to obtain the falling part of the giant resonance. The "shoulder" present in the part of the cross-section immediately above the threshold indicates the presence of absorption of photons due to magnetic dipole or/and electric quadrupole.

63 The characteristics of the determined cross-sections are shown below

Element	Threshold	E_{max}	$\sigma(E_{\text{max}})$	$\int \sigma dE$
Ge^{70}	12.1 MeV	20 MeV	0.125 b	0.59 MeV-b
Ge^{76}	9.3 MeV	18.9 MeV	0.243 b	1.5 MeV-b

VII - Samarium: $\text{Sm}^{144} (\gamma, n) \text{Sm}^{143}$

64 Discs of pressed samarium oxide were irradiated at several energies in order to obtain the activation curve and cross-section for this reaction. Some effort was spent to obtain also more information on the characteristics of the radioactivity induced in Sm^{144} by betatron irradiation.

65 The irradiated samples were counted with an end window counter and the decay curve was followed for approximately 3 hours; besides a long lived activity present in the samples with an half life of the order of 50 hours, an activity of the order of 10 min. half-life was observed. This activity has been found before by Butement (b) and assigned tentatively to Sm^{143} . As it will be shown, this assignement is confirmed by our measurements.

a) K. Way and M. Wood, Phys. Rev., 94, 119 (1954)

b) F. D. S. Butement, Proc. Phys. Soc., A 64, 395 (1951)

66 The half-life of this activity was accurately measured to be 9.03 ± 0.02 min. The desintegration scheme was studied roughly by absorption methods and the information was obtained that Sm^{143} decays by the emission of 2.6 MeV positrons; no other gamma-rays were found besides the annihilation radiation. Using this data, the activities obtained at a number of energies were compared with a copper sample irradiated in the same conditions, and the absolute rate of desintegration computed.

67 The activation curves so obtained are shown in fig.31; from this curve the cross-section was obtained and is shown in fig. 32. The yield per mole/r/min at 18 MeV is $0.24 \cdot 10^7$. Interpolating in the yield data of Price and Kerst (a) one gets for the same nucleus $0.93 \cdot 10^7$; our result is then lower than the expected by a factor 4. If the reaction responsible for the 9.03 min. activity is Sm^{147} , which is the other possible assignment for this activity, our yield would be lower, by a factor of 20, than Price and Kerst's; this seems very unlikely to be the case.

68 The remaining disagreement of a factor 4 might be explained by the existence of an undetected isomeric transition state in Sm^{143} ; Sm^{143} belongs to one of the "islands of isomerism" indicated by Goldhaber and Hill (b).

VIII - Lead: $\text{Pb}^{207} + ^{208} (\gamma, p) \text{Tl}^{206} + ^{207}$


69 This reaction was studied in order to gain information on the validity of the evaporation model for the lead nucleus. Since the gamma-n cross-section has been measured before (c), the knowledge of the gamma-p reaction in the above mentioned isotopes might permit a comparison with theory.


70 The experiment can be made easily by residual activity methods since both Tl^{206} and Tl^{207} decay by emission of beta rays of

a) G.Price and D.W.Kerst, Phys.Rev., 77,806 (1950)

b) M.Goldhaber and R.D.Hill, Rev.Mod.Phys., 24,179 (1952)

c) R.Montalbetti, L.Katz and J.Goldemberg, Phys.Rev., 91,659 (1953)





approximately the same energy, 1.51 and 1.45 MeV respectively; besides that, the half-lives of those isotopes is approximately the same, 4.23 and 4.76 min.. Although a separation of the contributions from Tl^{206} and Tl^{207} was attempted using the fact that the first does not emit gamma rays whilst Tl^{207} emits some of 0.87 MeV, this attempt failed: a gamma-ray crystal spectrometer using a one-inch thick NaI scintillator was assembled but the relative intensity of the gamma-rays in Tl^{207} is so small (a) (0.005) that an insignificant number of counts were obtained.

71 The results of this work refer then to the sum of the contributions of Pb^{207} and Pb^{208} . Cylinders of natural lead were irradiated at several energies and counted in a cylindrical counter. Due to the high mass of the cylinders, it was possible to measure the activation curve to 11 MeV; the thresholds for the reactions lie around 8 MeV (b).


72 The absolute rate of desintegration was determined irradiating discs of Pb and Cu together and comparing their activities. After the usual corrections, the activation curves was obtained and is shown in fig. 33; the cross-section computed from it, is shown in fig. 34.

73 Using this cross-section and assuming that the gamma-n cross-section for the sum of the isotopes Pb^{207} and Pb^{208} is the same as the gamma-n cross-section for natural lead, one can compute at each energy the ratio $\frac{\sigma(y,n)}{\sigma(y,p)}$. The same ratio was computed from the evaporation model and the results are shown in the table below and in fig. 35.

74 An analysis of this ratio shows that not only the evaporation model fails to account for the number of emitted protons by a factor 10^4 but, besides, it gets increasingly worse at low energies.


a) J. Surugue - Comp. Rend., 212, 337 (1941).

b) A.G.M. Cameron, W. Harms and L. Katz, Phys. Rev., 83, 1264 (1951)



Pb (207+208) (γ,p)

FIG. 33






Fig. 35

E (MeV)	Experiment	Evaporation model
12	135,000	-----
13	13,000	-----
14	7,400	200,000 x 10 ⁴
15	3,350	17,000 x 10 ⁴
16	1,470	3,000 x 10 ⁴
17	600	740 x 10 ⁴
18	230	290 x 10 ⁴
19	80	96 x 10 ⁴
20	26	38 x 10 ⁴

General Discussion

75 The advent of the 24 MeV betatron has made it possible, in the last few years, to accumulate a wealth of information on the photonuclear processes in the intermediate energy range. It has turned out that, in most nuclides for which the different modes of decay of the compound nucleus has been examined, a valuable information on the behaviour of the processes responsible for the absorption of the electromagnetic radiation is obtained. In two particular instances in which the de-excitation of the compound nucleus by gamma-ray re-emission was studied, it has turned out that this effect is not larger than about 10% of the gamma-neutron mode of de-excitation (a,b). On the other hand, it has been fairly well established that the de-excitation by a single neutron emission is the predominant mode of decay of the compound nucleus.

76 From the considerations outlined above it follows that a good estimate of the absorption processes of the electromagnetic radiation by the atomic nuclei is given by the analysis of gamma-neutron processes.

a) J. Goldemberg and L. Katz, Phys. Rev., 90, 308 (1953)

b) C. S. Del Rio and V. L. Telegdi, Phys. Rev., 90, 439 (1953)

77 A common feature of the measured cross-sections for photo-nuclear reactions is that the cross-section rises slowly at threshold and stays practically constant for a while rising then in a typical bell-shaped curve which is often referred to as the "giant resonance".

78 Several theories have been put forward to explain the nature of this giant-resonance (a,b,c); amongst these theories Levinger and Bethe's is based in considerations which are quite independent of the nuclear model. In particular, the integrated cross-section for photon absorption, as calculated by these authors, makes use of the quantum mechanical sum rules, and gives predictions which are well verified by the experimental data available (d,e).

79 Another result of this theory is that the harmonic mean energy W_H for photon absorption, in the region of the giant resonance, can be expressed as a function of the mean squared displacement $\langle r^2 \rangle_{00}$ of a nucleon, in the nuclear ground state, as


$$W_H = \frac{\int \sigma(E) dE}{\int \sigma(E) dE/E} = \frac{3\hbar^2}{2M} \frac{1 + .8x}{\langle r^2 \rangle_{00}}$$

Here, $\sigma(E)$ is the nuclear absorption cross-section of photons of energy E , "x" is the fraction of the neutron-proton force which is of exchange nature and M is the nucleon mass.

80 As the integrals that occur in the equation above can be obtained from the experimental data, it is possible to compute $\langle r^2 \rangle_{00}$ and, therefore, to gain some insight on the sub-units which are responsible, in a nucleus, for the dipole absorption.

81 In fig. 36 are illustrated the behaviour of $\langle r^2 \rangle_{00}$ as a function of A for the experimental data available and from the measurements reported in this paper. In the same figure two theoretical

- a) M. Goldhaber and E. Teller, Phys. Rev., 74, 1046 (1948)
- b) J. S. Levinger and H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev., 78, 115 (1950)
- c) J. S. Levinger and D. C. Kent, Phys. Rev., 95, 418 (1954)
- d) J. Goldemberg and L. Katz, Can. J. Phys., 32, 49 (1954)
- e) R. Montalbetti, L. Katz and J. Goldemberg, Phys. Rev., 91, 659 (1953)



A - atomic number

Fig. 36

cal curves are drawn, corresponding to a model in which the neutrons are free to move inside the nuclear boundary, as defined by its radius, and for a model in which the sub-units responsible for photon absorption are assumed to be alpha-particles.

82 It seems that the available data strongly substantiates the model in which, most of the time, the nucleons are clustered as alpha-particles.

83 An effect of closed shells on the photonuclear phenomena has been pointed out by Nathans and Halpern (a): these investigators have found that magic nuclei show an anomalously narrow giant resonance half-width. The criterion used to evaluate the half-width, however, is not free from criticism since in their measurements of the total neutron yield all the modes of de-excitation of the compound nucleus in which neutrons are emitted. As the thresholds for these processes are quite independent of the dipole absorption mechanism, fortuitous contributions may mask any effect that might be due to the shell structure. As, on the other hand, it is well established that the sudden rise of the cross-section of the giant resonance up to σ_{\max} is in an interval of excitation for which the predominant mode of decay is by single neutron emission, a good criterion to avoid the difficulties just discussed could be to take into account the first half of the giant resonance curve to examine whether a magic number effect exists.

84 As one can see by examining fig. 37, a good criterion to evaluate the onset of the dipole absorption for a given nucleus is to determine the point in which a straight line drawn through the points for which $1/2 \sigma_{\max}$ and σ_{\max} intercepts the energy axis. The distance from this point to the energy at which σ is maximum is practically twice as large as one half of the half-width.

85 The results obtained by plotting dE against the atomic

a) R. Nathans and J. Halpern, Phys. Rev., 93, 437 (1954)




Fig. 37

number are given in fig. 38. The data used in these computations is given in the references at the end of this paper. As one can observe, there is no clear evidence for a magic number effect in this graph.

86 From very general considerations on the properties of magic number nuclides, one should expect that neutrons in an excited compound nucleus should have a smaller chance of being emitted as compared with a non-magic one at the same excitation energy. Indeed, as follows from Bohr's concept of the compound nucleus (a) the level density in a heavy nucleus increases rapidly with the excitation energy; it is obvious, therefore, that the sudden drop in the neutron binding energy which is observed in nuclei beyond magic numbers causes a corresponding increase of level density for the same excitation energy. This argument suggests a criterion to put in evidence any effect that might be due to shell-effects.

87 If we consider a typical cross-section as represented in fig. 37, one can define a parameter Δ which is a magnitude dependent on the level density, and, therefore, takes into account the steepness of rise of the cross-section.

88 For magic nuclei and neighbours one should expect Δ to be larger than for the others. The results obtained by computing this parameter for several nucleides are represented in fig. 39 and in Table I, for which the references are given below.

89 The shell structure is clearly exhibited in this curve at nuclides for which N is equal to 20, 28, 50, 82, 126 and the effect is neater for high mass-numbers.

90 The work described in this paper was supported with funds from the University of São Paulo, and, in part, by the Conselho Nacional de Pesquisas.

a) M.G. Mayer and J.H.D. Jensen, Elementary Theory of Nuclear Shell Structure, pg. 35, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1955.

δE IN MeV

10

5

0

50


100

150

200

A

FIG. 38






Fig. 37

TABLE I

Element	Z	N	A	Threshold	$E(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{xy})$	Δ (MeV)	Reference
C	6	6	12	18.70	20.6	1.9	a
N	7	7	14	10.54	17.3	8.8	f
O	8	8	16	15.60	21.8	6.2	f
Na	11	12	23	12.10	15.4	3.3	a
Mg	12	12	24	16.0	18.2	2.2	o
Al	13	14	27	14.0	17.5	3.5	n
Si	14	14	28	16.9	18.8	1.9	d
P	15	16	31	12.4	16.2	3.8	e
S	16	16	32	15.0	18.0	3.0	
Cl	17	18	35	13.0	16.8	3.4	
A	18	20	38	10.5	13.0	4.5	
K	19	20	39	12.5	17.5	5.0	
Ca	20	20	40	15.8	18.0	2.2	a
Cr	24	24	50	13.4	16.8	3.4	b
V	23	23	51	10.8	13.5	4.7	b
Mn	25	30	55	10.0	14.5	4.5	c
Fe	26	28	54	11.7	17.7	6.0	q
Co	27	32	59	10.2	15.0	4.8	e
Ni	28	30	58	12.0	15.5	3.5	h
Cu	29	34	63	10.6	14.5	3.9	k
Cu	29	36	65	10.2	14.1	3.9	l
Zn	30	34	64	11.6	14.5	2.9	p
As	33	42	75	10.1	13.0	2.9	a
Br	35	46	81	10.0	14.0	4.0	j
Rb	37	50	87	9.3	14.7	5.4	e
Nb	41	52	93	8.7	14.5	5.8	a
Mo	42	50	92	13.1	15.1	2.1	h
Ag	47	62	109	9.3	13.2	3.9	l
In	49	66	115	9.0	12.5	3.5	a
Sb	51	70	121	9.3	12.4	3.1	l
I	53	74	127	9.1	13.2	4.1	a
La	57	82	139	8.0	12.5	4.5	b
Ta	73	108	181	8.8	11.5	2.7	l
Au	79	118	197	7.9	11.4	4.1	a
Hg	83	126	209	7.4	11.6	4.2	a
U	92	146	238	6.0	9.5	3.5	a

References

- a) R. Montalbetti, L. Katz and J. Goldemberg, Phys. Rev., 91, 659 (1953)
- b) J. Goldemberg and L. Katz, Can. J. Phys., 32, 49 (1953)
- c) R. N. H. Haslam, H. E. Johns and R. J. Horsley, Phys. Rev., 82, 270 (1951)
- d) R. G. Summers-Gill, R. N. H. Haslam and L. Katz, Can. J. Phys., 31, 70 (1953)
- e) R. N. H. Haslam, R. G. Summers-Gill and E. H. Crosby, Can. J. Phys., 30, 257 (1952)
- f) H. E. Johns, R. J. Horsley, R. N. H. Haslam, R. Quinton, Phys. Rev., 82, 856 (1951)
- g) L. Katz and A. S. Penfold, Phys. Rev., 81, 815 (1951)
- h) L. Katz, H. E. Johns, R. G. Baker, R. N. H. Haslam and R. A. Douglas, Phys. Rev., 82, 271 (1951)
- i) H. E. Johns, L. Katz, R. A. Douglas and R. N. H. Haslam, Phys. Rev., 80, 1062 (1950)
- j) L. Katz, L. Pease and H. Moody, Can. J. Phys., 30, 476 (1952)
- k) L. Katz, R. G. Baker and R. Montalbetti, Can. J. Phys., 31, 250 (1953)
- l) B. C. Diven and G. M. Almy, Phys. Rev., 80, 407 (1950)
- m) D. McPherson, P. Pederson and L. Katz, Can. J. Phys., 32, 593 (1954)
- n) R. Nathans and J. Halpern, Phys. Rev., 93, 437 (1954)
- o) L. Katz and A. G. W. Cameron, Phys. Rev., 84, 1115 (1951)
- p) This paper
- q) This paper
- r) This paper